



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CHRISTOPHER DEVRIES
General Motors Corporation
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21
P.O. Box 300
Detroit MI 48265-3000

COPY MAILED
AUG 10 2006
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Katrak et al. :
Application No. 10/811,377 : Decision on Petition
Filing Date: March 25, 2004 :
Attorney Docket No. GP-302755 :
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed May 10, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **granted**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed June 1, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. An extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was not obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on September 2, 2005. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on March 20, 2006.

The instant petition requests revival of the application.

Petitioner has met the requirements to revive the above-identified application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). Therefore, the petition is granted and the application is revived.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed solely to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

The petition is signed by Daniel Pote. Pote was not an attorney or agent of record at the time the application became abandoned. Therefore, it appears Pote was not in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay. Nevertheless, the statement by Pote that the entire delay was unintentional is being treated as having been made as the result of

a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay.¹ In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that a portion of the delay from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Technology Center Art Unit 3661 will be informed of the instant decision and the application, including the papers filed May 10, 2006, will be further examined in due course.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to Petitions Attorney Steven Brantley at (571) 272-3203.



Charles Steven Brantley
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

cc: Daniel R. Pote
Suite 325
7150 E. Camelback Road
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

¹ See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 *Fed. Reg.* at 53160 and 53178; 1203 *Off. Gaz. Pat. Office* at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109) (applicant obligated under 37 CFR 10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b) to the Patent and Trademark Office).